

Dark Radiation from Infra-gravitons

A. P. Balachandran*

Physics Department, Syracuse University,

Syracuse, New York 13244-1130, U.S.A. and

Chennai Mathematical Institute, Kelambakkam 603103, India

Babar Qureshi[†]

Department of Physics, Lahore University of Management Sciences, Lahore, Pakistan

(Dated: 19/12/2015)

In QED and QCD [1, 2], infrared photons and gluons accumulate at infinity and cause breakdown of Lorentz and color invariance and other physical effects. These results are generalized here to quantum gravity regarded as an $SL(2, \mathcal{C})$ gauge theory and the shift of the ADM energy density and mass from infrared spin connection (the spin cloud) is discussed.

I. INTRODUCTION

In QED, infrared photons dress the charged particles such as the electrons and alter its interacting in and out states. The particle thus gets a form factor which makes its mass and spin shells fuzzy. Lorentz invariance is also spontaneously broken.

These results are due to Roepstorff[3], Buchholz et al.[4] and especially Fröhlich et al. [5]. A canonical fixed time approach to these results was developed in [1] where their experimental implications were also examined. They were adapted in [2] to QCD and non-abelian gauge theories with similar conclusions. Color symmetry was also shown to be spontaneously broken just like Lorentz invariance.

These results are here generalized to quantum gravity formulated as an $SL(2, \mathcal{C})$ gauge theory of spin connection. Infra-gravitons (or rather infra- $SL(2, \mathcal{C})$ gluons or the ‘spin cloud’) then shift the ADM energy density which is estimated. This shift is a contribution

*Electronic address: balachandran38@gmail.com

[†]Electronic address: babar.qureshi@lums.edu.pk

to dark energy density, being localized at spatial infinity.

II. THE ADM MASS

The ADM Poincaré group is defined on asymptotically flat spacetimes which are the spacetimes considered in this paper. The ADM energy is then written as a surface integral at spatial infinity. If g is the spacetime metric and η the Minkowski metric, then on spatial slice M^3 ,

$$\begin{aligned} g_{\mu\nu} &= \eta_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu}, \\ h_{\mu\nu} &= \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{r}\right) \quad \text{as } r \longrightarrow \infty, \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where r is a radial coordinate defined in the asymptotic region (complement of a ball B^3 in M^3). The ADM energy E_{ADM} is then [6]

$$E_{ADM} = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \int_{S_r^2} d\Omega r^2 \hat{x}^j (\partial_k g_{jk} - \partial_j g_{kk}). \tag{2}$$

Witten has written this expression using Dirac spinors and the self-dual spin connection A :

$$E_W = E_{ADM} = \frac{i}{2\pi G} \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \int_{S_\infty^2} \bar{\psi} \gamma_5 \gamma \wedge A \psi, \tag{3}$$

$$\psi = \text{constant on } S_\infty^2, \quad \psi^\dagger \psi = 1. \tag{4}$$

III. ON SELF-DUAL GRAVITY

In view of (3), we use the approach of self-dual gravity [7] where the basic configuration space variables are the spatial parts of the spin connection $A_i^{\alpha\beta}$ which are “self-dual”:

$$A_i^{\alpha\beta} = \frac{i}{2} \epsilon^{\alpha\beta}_{\gamma\delta} A_i^{\gamma\delta}.$$

Hence A_i^{jk} and A_i^{0l} ($j, k, l \in [1, 2, 3]$) are related:

$$A_i^{0\rho} = \frac{i}{2} \epsilon_i^{\rho mn} A_{i,mn}, \quad \rho = 1, 2, 3.$$

It follows also that A_i is not hermitian. The non-hermitian part of A_i is given by the extrinsic curvature. The connection entering the Witten equation [6] $i\gamma^l D_l \psi = 0$ is this connection. It is not the connection compatible with the spatial metric q .

In the self-dual approach, the conjugate field E_σ^l to

$$A_i^{0,\rho} = A_i^\rho,$$

$$[A_i^\rho(x), E_\sigma^j(y)] = i\delta_\sigma^\rho \delta_i^j \delta^3(x, y) \quad (5)$$

on spatial slice is given by the frame field e_i :

$$E_\sigma^i = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\sigma\rho\nu} \epsilon^{ijk} e_j^\rho e_k^\nu.$$

Here e_j^ρ are frames and the gauge choice $e_i^0 = 0$ is being made. Also, all the indices in this equation run from 1 to 3.

Since

$$E_\sigma^i(r) e_l^\sigma(r) = |e| \delta_l^i,$$

where $|M| = \det M$, we get

$$|E| = |e|^2$$

and

$$[A_i^\alpha(x), e_j^\beta(y)] = \frac{i}{|e|} \left[\frac{1}{2} e_i^\alpha(x) e_j^\beta(x) - e_i^\beta(x) e_j^\alpha(x) \right] \delta^3(x, y) \quad (6)$$

on the spatial slice.

This expression asymptotically simplifies on using

$$e_i^\alpha \sim \delta_i^\alpha + \mathcal{O}(1/r) \quad (7)$$

to the following expression

$$[A_i^\alpha(x), e_j^\beta(y)] = i \left[\frac{1}{2} \delta_i^\alpha \delta_j^\beta - \delta_i^\beta \delta_j^\alpha \right] \delta^3(x, y) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{r}\right). \quad (8)$$

IV. THE DARK ENERGY: THE CALCULATION

Our focus is on the asymptotic region of quantum gravity where the relations (1,7) hold.

In QED and QCD, the asymptotic radiation field dresses the particle Fock state of momentum P to give the dressed in state. We can calculate it by adding the interaction

$$e \int d^3x A_\mu j^\mu(x)$$

in QED, where j^μ is the particle current [2]:

$$j^\mu(x) = \int d\tau \delta^4(x - z(\tau)) \frac{dz^\mu(\tau)}{d\tau}. \quad (9)$$

As we are considering infrared radiation, for a particle of mass M , we can set

$$\frac{dz^\mu(\tau)}{d\tau} = \frac{P^\mu}{M}, \quad z(\tau) = \tau \frac{P}{M}, \quad \tau = t \quad (10)$$

in which case the state is

$$|P, \cdot\rangle_{\text{in}} = V(j)|P\rangle|0\rangle_\gamma \quad (11)$$

where $|P\rangle$ and $|0\rangle_\gamma$ are the charged particle and photon vacuum states respectively and

$$V(j) = T \exp \left\{ -i \int_{-\infty < t \leq 0} d^4x A_\mu(x) j^\mu(x) \right\} \quad (12)$$

with the t integral limited as shown. For details see [2].

In QCD, J^μ is the current of a Wong particle [2]. It has “internal” degrees of freedom

$$\hat{\lambda} = (\hat{\lambda}_1(\tau), \hat{\lambda}_2(\tau), \dots, \hat{\lambda}_8(\tau))$$

which represent the Lie algebra of the gauged group G with structure constants $c_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma$ on the particle states:

$$[\hat{\lambda}_\alpha, \hat{\lambda}_\beta] = ic_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma \hat{\lambda}_\gamma. \quad (13)$$

As shown in [2], we can choose a gauge where J^μ has components only in the direction of an element K in the Cartan subalgebra of $\underline{G}$:

$$J^\mu(x) = \hat{K} \int d\tau \delta^4(x - z(\tau)) \frac{dz^\mu(\tau)}{d\tau}. \quad (14)$$

The interaction now becomes

$$g \hat{K} \int d^3x [\text{Tr} K A_\mu(x)] j^\mu(x),$$

where g is the non-abelian coupling constant.

Choosing a particle state with eigenvalue λ_j for $\hat{K}$, the in state is then seen to be

$$|P, \lambda_j, \cdot\rangle_{\text{in}} = V(J)|P, \lambda_j, \cdot\rangle |\text{Gluon vacuum}\rangle \quad (15)$$

$$V(J) = T \exp \left\{ -ig \lambda_j \int_{-\infty < t \leq 0} d^4x \text{Tr}(K A_\mu(x)) j^\mu(x) \right\}. \quad (16)$$

In this gauge, there is matter source for A_μ only in the direction K . We can calculate how the particle state gets dressed by infra-gluons.

We now adapt the previous considerations to the quantum gravity case. We use it only in the asymptotically flat region where spacetime is Minkowskian. Also, the connection A couples to the Dirac operator of matter in the standard way: it is $-i\gamma^\mu D_\mu$ where D_μ is the covariant derivative for connection A . In the asymptotic region, this is the Minkowskian Dirac operator for $SL(2, \mathcal{C})$ connection.

However, there is a problem as $SL(2, \mathcal{C})$ is not compact. For this reason, any basis of the Cartan subalgebra contains a generator which generates the non-compact $\mathbb{R}^1$, besides a generator which can be of the compact $U(1)$. The non-compact $U(1)$ leads to reality and unitarity problems as one can infer from the literature on Loop Quantum Gravity [7]. We avoid these problems by restricting to radiation associated with the compact $U(1)$. Hence, for us, $\hat{K}$ is the generator of a compact $SU(2)$ rotation in $SL(2, \mathcal{C})$. In other words, we assume that the internal particle states are induced from the representations of the $U(1)$ subgroup with generator $\hat{K}$. The eigenvalue of $\hat{K}$ in this representation is λ_j assumed non-zero :

$$\lambda_j \in \{\pm 1/2, \pm 3/2, \dots\}.$$

From E_{ADM} , we see that the shifted energy and its density can be obtained if we know

$$a) \quad V(J)^\dagger A_i V(J) \tag{17}$$

$$b) \quad V(J)^\dagger e_i V(J) \tag{18}$$

in the asymptotic region.

A. Calculation of (17)

We will see that this is the significant term.

We can find the commutator of A_i with A_j for different spacetime points using the leading term in the gradient expansion. That is appropriate for our extreme low energy problem.

The leading term of interest in the gradient expansion in the action is

$$S_{\text{eff}} = -\frac{\Lambda}{4} \int d^4x F^{K\mu\nu}(x) F_{\mu\nu}^K(x) \tag{19}$$

$$F_{\mu\nu}^K = \partial_\mu A_\nu^K - \partial_\nu A_\mu^K \tag{20}$$

where $A_\mu^K = \text{tr} K A_\mu$ is the component of A_μ in the compact direction K (see (16)) and Λ is an unknown constant. In the Lorentz gauge, it gives the field equation

$$\partial^\mu \partial_\mu A_\nu^K = 0. \quad (21)$$

The constant Λ and the action S_{eff} must be emergent from quantum gravity. The one-loop diagram



from a Dirac field of mass M induces this term, with $\sqrt{GM}$ replacing the electric charge e in QED ([8] and Sec. 7.5 of [9]). Note that we are interested only in the infrared limit of this diagram. We thus estimate that

$$\Lambda = \xi(\sqrt{GM})^2 \quad (22)$$

where we can only say that $\xi \neq 0$.

With $A'^K = \sqrt{\xi GM} A^K$,

$$S_{\text{eff}} = -\frac{1}{4} \int d^4x [\partial_\mu A'^K{}_\nu(x) - \partial_\nu A'^K{}_\mu(x)]^2. \quad (23)$$

In the Lorentz gauge, A'^K has the commutator

$$[A'^K_\mu(x), A'^K_\nu(y)] = \eta_{\mu\nu} D(x-y), \quad (24)$$

$$D(x-y) = \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{2p_0} [e^{-ip \cdot (x-y)} - e^{ip \cdot (x-y)}], \quad (25)$$

$$p_0 = |\sqrt{(\vec{p}^2 + M^2)}|.$$

Hence,

$$[A_\mu^K(x), A_\nu^K(y)] = \frac{1}{\xi GM^2} \eta_{\mu\nu} D(x-y). \quad (26)$$

This commutator is a multiple of the identity.

Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} V(J) = & \exp \left\{ - \int_{-\infty < t' \leq 0} d^4x' \int_{-\infty < t \leq 0} d^4x [A_\mu^K(x') J^\mu(x'), A_\nu^K(x) J^\nu(x)] \right\} \\ & \times \exp \left\{ -i \int_{-\infty < t \leq 0} d^4x A_\mu^K(x) J^\mu(x) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

The first factor does not contribute to (17) as it is a multiple of identity where now $A_\mu = A_\mu^K$ so that

$$\begin{aligned} a') \quad & V(J)^\dagger A_\mu^K(x) V(J) \\ &= A_\mu^K(x) + \frac{i}{\xi G M^2} \int_{-\infty < t' < 0} dx' J^\mu(x') D(x' - x). \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

We find, with $x_0 = 0$,

$$V(J)^\dagger A_\mu^K(x) V(J) := A_\mu^K + \Delta A_\mu^K \quad (29)$$

$$= A_\mu^K - \frac{P_\mu P_0 / M}{\xi G M^2} \int \frac{d^3 p}{2 p_0 (2\pi)^3} \frac{e^{-i \vec{p} \cdot \vec{x}}}{P \cdot p + i\epsilon} + c.c. \quad (30)$$

B. Calculation of (18)

This term can be estimated from the commutator (8). The first factor in (27) does not contribute to (18) while the second, in the Coulomb gauge, becomes our expression in [1, 2], namely

$$e \int d^3 x (A_i^-(x) \omega_i^+(x) - A_i^+(x) \omega_i^-(x))$$

where A_i^+, A_i^- are the positive and negative frequency parts of A_i and

$$\omega_i^\pm(x) = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{|\vec{x}|^2}\right) \text{ as } |\vec{x}| \rightarrow \infty. \quad (31)$$

We do not need the detailed expression for $\omega_i^\pm$, which can be found in [2].

Thus, e_i gets shifted by terms $\Delta e = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{r^2}\right)$. But A as $r \rightarrow \infty$ is $\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{r^2}\right)$. So $\Delta e \wedge A$ is $\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{r^4}\right)$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ and does not contribute to asymptotic E_{ADM} .

In contrast, e is $\mathcal{O}(1)$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ while the shift ΔA^K of A^K as $|\vec{x}| \rightarrow \infty$ is $\mathcal{O}(1/r)$. This follows from (30) which gives $A^K(\lambda \vec{x}) = \frac{1}{\lambda} A^K(\vec{x})$ (Change p to $p' = \lambda p$ in $A^K(\lambda \vec{x})$ to get this result.) Hence the term $e \wedge \Delta A^K$ term does contribute to the shift of energy by infrared terms. We estimate this shift next.

V. DARK ENERGY: THE DISCUSSION

We first calculate the shifts

$$\Delta E_W = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \int d\Omega \Delta \mathcal{E}_W \quad (32)$$

$$\Delta \mathcal{E}_W = \frac{i}{2\pi G} \epsilon^{ijk} \hat{x}_i \bar{\psi} \gamma_5 \gamma_j \Delta A_k^K \psi \quad (33)$$

of the ADM energy E_W and the energy density $\mathcal{E}_W$ caused by the infra-spin cloud.

A. Calculation of $\Delta \mathcal{E}_W$

We have

$$\Delta A_k^K = \Delta A_k \Sigma_{12}. \quad (34)$$

With $\hat{x} = (0, 0, 1)$, that gives on simplification in the basis

$$\gamma_\mu = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_\mu & \\ & \tilde{\sigma}_\mu \end{pmatrix}, \quad (35)$$

$$\sigma_0 = 1, \quad \sigma_i = -\tilde{\sigma}_i = \text{Pauli matrices}, \quad (36)$$

$$\Delta \mathcal{E}_W = -\frac{M}{\xi(\sqrt{GM})^4} r^2 \epsilon_{ijk} \chi_i P_j \hat{x}_k (I_+ + I_- = \bar{I}_+) \quad (37)$$

$$\chi_i = \psi^\dagger \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_i \sigma_3 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_i \sigma_3 \end{pmatrix} \psi \quad (38)$$

$$I_+ = \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3 2k} e^{-i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}} \frac{P_0}{P \cdot k + i\epsilon} \quad (39)$$

We write

$$\frac{P_0}{P \cdot k + i\epsilon} = \frac{1}{k(1 - \vec{v} \cdot \hat{k}) + i\epsilon} \quad (40)$$

with $\vec{v} = \frac{\vec{P}_i}{P_0}$ is the velocity of the particle. We integrate over k to get

$$I_+ = \frac{-i}{r} \frac{1}{2(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{1}{\hat{k} \cdot \hat{x} - i\epsilon} \frac{1}{1 - \vec{v} \cdot \hat{k}} d\Omega_k \quad (41)$$

where finally, $\epsilon \rightarrow 0+$.

Setting $\hat{x} = (0, 0, 1)$ and using $\frac{1}{k \cdot \hat{x} - i\epsilon} = \frac{P}{k \cdot \hat{x}} + i\pi \delta(\hat{k} \cdot \hat{x} = \cos \theta_k)$, we integrate over $\cos \theta_k$ to find

$$I_+ + I_- = \frac{1}{2r(2\pi)^2} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\phi_k}{1 - v_x \cos \phi_k - v_y \sin \phi_k} \quad (42)$$

or with $z = e^{i\phi_k}$, $v_{\pm} = v_x \pm iv_y$,

$$I_+ + I_- = \frac{1}{r(2\pi)^2} \frac{i}{v_-} \oint_{|z|=1} \frac{dz}{(z - z_+)(z - z_-)}, \quad (43)$$

$$z_{\pm} = \frac{1}{v_-} \pm \frac{1}{v_-} \sqrt{1 - v_+ v_-}. \quad (44)$$

We note that

$$|z_+ z_-| = \left| \frac{v_+}{v_-} \right| = 1, \quad (45)$$

$$z_+ \bar{z}_+ = \frac{1}{|\vec{v}|^2} + \left(1 - \frac{1}{|\vec{v}|^2} \right)^2 > 1 \text{ as } |\vec{v}|^2 < 1 \quad (46)$$

so that the integral (43) is well-defined and encloses only the pole at z_- . Hence

$$I_+ + I_- = \frac{1}{r(4\pi)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - v_+ v_-}} \quad (47)$$

$$= \frac{1}{r(4\pi)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - |\vec{v}|^2 + (\vec{v} \cdot \hat{x})^2}} \quad (48)$$

and finally

$$\Delta \mathcal{E}_W = -\frac{1}{4\pi\xi} \frac{Mr}{(\sqrt{GM})^4} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - |\vec{v}|^2 + (\vec{v} \cdot \hat{x})^2}} \epsilon_{ijk} \chi_i P_j \hat{x}_k \quad (49)$$

$$1. \quad \Delta E_W = 0$$

This result follows from rotational invariance. Thus

$$\int d\Omega_{\hat{x}_k} \frac{\hat{x}_k}{\sqrt{1 - |\vec{v}|^2 + (\vec{v} \cdot \hat{x})^2}} \quad (50)$$

is proportional to $\frac{P_k}{P_0}$ and hence $\Delta E_W = 0$.

$$2. \quad \Delta \mathcal{E}_W$$

From $\Delta E_W = 0$, we see that $\Delta \mathcal{E}_W$ is not uniformly distributed over angles. Let us look for the maxima of $|\Delta \mathcal{E}_W|$.

The expression χ_i refers to the direction of momentum of gravity without source (eq. (56) in [6]). A simple choice for ψ which gives the maximum of $|\chi_3|$ is

$$\psi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (51)$$

where also $\chi_{1,2}$ are zero. In that case if $\vec{P} = P(1, 0, 0)$, $\hat{x} = (0, 1, 0)$, we have $\epsilon_{ijk}\chi_i P_j \hat{x}_k = P$.

There is also the cut-off r . If we take the limit $r \rightarrow \infty$, $\Delta\mathcal{E}_W \rightarrow \infty$. If at the same time, $\Delta E_W \rightarrow \infty$, we can say that the generator of time translation, i.e. the ADM Hamiltonian, is spontaneously broken. But in fact, $\Delta E_W = 0$. So the divergence of $\Delta\mathcal{E}_W$ needs clarification. Tentatively, we take r to be Compton wavelength $1/M$ of the particle and set $Mr = 1$.

We now eliminate the unknown ξ by taking the ratio of energy density shifts $\Delta\mathcal{E}_W^m$ and $\Delta\mathcal{E}_W^M$ for velocities $\vec{v}_m$ and $\vec{v}_M$ to get

$$\frac{\Delta\mathcal{E}_W^m}{\Delta\mathcal{E}_W^M} \approx \frac{M^4 \sqrt{(1 - |\vec{v}_M|^2)}}{m^4 \sqrt{(1 - |\vec{v}_m|^2)}} \quad (52)$$

This shows that faster particles of smaller mass create greater shift of energy density.

Note that in the ratio (52), we get the ratio of the masses if the cut-offs are same or proportional. That is finite even if the cut-off r is allowed to approach infinity.

We do not have a good way to evaluate ξ and hence cannot report and estimate of $\Delta\mathcal{E}_W$. But it seems probable that the spin cloud will contribute to $\Delta\mathcal{E}_W$ in any model and that it will diverge like r as $r \rightarrow \infty$.

VI. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Rakesh Tiberwala derived eq. (8) for A.P.B. Alok Laddha and T.R.Govindarajan kindly arranged his visit to Chennai Mathematical Institute. He thanks them for intellectual and material support.

-
- [1] A. P. Balachandran, S. K rk io lu, A. R. de Queiroz and S. Vaidya, Spontaneous Lorentz Violation: The Case of Infrared QED,' Eur. Phys. J. C **75**, no. 2, 89 (2015), [arXiv:1406.5845 [hep-th]].
 - [2] A. P. Balachandran, QCD Breaks Lorentz Invariance and Colour, arXiv:1509.05235 [hep-th].
 - [3] G. Roepstorff, Coherent Photon States and Spectral Conditions, Commun. Math. Phys. **19**, 301 (1970).
 - [4] D. Buchholz, Gauss Law and the Infraparticle Problem, Phys. Lett. **B** 174, 331 (1986); D. Buchholz and K. Fredenhagen, Locality and the Structure of Particle States, Commun. Math. Phys. **84**, 1 (1982).

- [5] J. Fröhlich, G. Morchio, and F. Strocchi, Infrared problem and spontaneous breaking of the lorentz group in qed, Physics Letters B **89**,1, 61-64 (1979).
- [6] E. Witten, A Simple Proof of the Positive Energy Theorem, Commun. Math. Phys. **80**, 381 (1981).
- [7] A. Ashtekar, 1991, Lectures on Non-Perturbative Canonical Gravity (Advanced Series in Astrophysics and Cosmology, Vol. 6), World Scientific, Singapore - New Jersey - London - Hong Kong.
- [8] V. P. Nair, 2005, Quantum Field Theory – A Modern Perspective, Springer-Verlag, New York.
- [9] M. E. Peskin and D. V. Schroeder, 1995, An Introduction To Quantum Field Theory (Frontiers in Physics), Perseus Books, Reading, Massachusetts.